

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,804	08/04/2003	Michael C. Robinson	200207438-1	7237
	7590 09/12/200 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER .		
	00, 3404 E. HARMON	KIM, PAUL		
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2161	
	· '		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETT O EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If NO period for reply is specified above, the matering date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the matering date of this communication will be provided by the period of the period will apply and will aspire SEX (8) MONTHS from the matering date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the matering date of this communication will be seen as ARMONDED. 130 J.S. (5)			114			
Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Paul Kim Paul Month Kim Paul Month Kim Paul Kim		10/633,804	ROBINSON ET AL.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be a validate under the provisions of 3 CFR. 1136(i). In no event, however, may nerry be tember 9 the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication. Parameters with the state of the communication of the communication. Parameters with the state of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any control grant the adjustment. Set 5 CFR 1.75(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 August 2007. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is formation is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-9.11-17 and 21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are ellowed. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-9.11-17 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) field on is/are is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) field on is/are is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) field on is/are is/are rejected. 11) □ The drawing(s) field on is/are is/are rejected. 12) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 13) □ The drawing(s) field on is/are is/are rejected. 14) □ The drawing(s) field on is/are is/are rejected. 15) □ The drawing(s) field on is/are is/are rejected. 1	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. **IN Operation from by is specific some while maintain statutory period val Seging and val expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. **In University of the plant of the provision of the above claim(s)	•		<u></u>			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be waited used the provision of 37 CFR 1.15(a). In a oversh, however, may a reply be limely filed after SIX (6) MOXITIS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period to reply is specified above, the maximum staticup stability of the provision	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s)	Status		•			
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-9.11-17 and 21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-9.11-17 and 21 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 1-9.11-17 and 21 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Copies of the certified ocopies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 Notice of Informal Patent Application 5 Old other:	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Au	<u>ugust 2007</u> .				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
Al) Claim(s) 1-9.11-17 and 21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8] □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8] □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) □ Other:	· 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
4) Claim(s) 1-9.11-17 and 21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 6) □ Other: 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) □ Other:	closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 6) Other: Story PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Story PTO-581/08) 6) Other: Story PTO-581/08	Disposition of Claims					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-9,11-17 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	wn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	Application Papers					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:		.r				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: 1. Other: 1. Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: 1. Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	·					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)					
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/633,804

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office action is responsive to the following communication: Amendment filed on 26 February 2007.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 11-17 and 21 are pending and present for examination. Claims 1, 7, 15, and 21 are independent.

Response to Amendment

- 3. Claims 1, 7, 15, and 21 have been amended.
- 4. Claim 10 has been cancelled.
- 5. No claims have been added.

Claim Objections

6. The amendment filed 15 August 2007 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: a method "wherein the agent is distinct from the restricted intermediate database and the database of interest."

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a

Art Unit: 2161

person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. **Claims 1-17 and 21** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuchiya (U.S. Patent No. 6,950,864, hereinafter referred to as TSUCHIYA), filed on 27 July 2000, and issued on 27 September 2005, in view of Essential SNMP, by Douglas Mauro et al, and published on 15 October 2001, and in further view of Official Notice.

TSUCHIYA differs from the claimed invention in that it fails to expressly disclose a method wherein creating an object uses a SET command.

MAURO discloses a NMS which processes a SET command to change the value of a managed object or to create a new row in a table.

9. **As per independent claims 1, 15 and 21**, TSUCHIYA, in combination with MAURO and Official Notice, discloses:

A method for accessing a database of interest {See MAURO, Section 1.4, wherein this reads over "[t]he Management Information Base (MIB) can be thought of as a database of managed objects that the agent tracks"}, the method comprising:

a management application {See MAURO, Chapter 5, wherein this reads over "Network-Management Software"} creating a first object for indicating a unique identifier identifying a data item {See TSUCHIYA, Fig. 5, Element 20a; and col. 4, lines 29-44, wherein this reads over "the field of the SNMP command is constituted so as to include a command type, a request index, and a management object identifier"; "command type specifies one of commands such as GET . . . SET"; and "management object identifier specifies a device address and a management object referred to by the command"} Wherein said creating said first object uses a first SET command {See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "[t]he set command is used to change the value of a managed object or to create a new row in a table"};

an agent {See TSUCHIYA, col. 1, lines 13-18, wherein this reads over "a management object process unit incorporated in the managed device as an agent"} storing said unique identifier in a restricted intermediate database {See TSUCHIYA, col. 2, lines 13-14, wherein this reads over "a memory section for storing the management object collected from the managed device"} which is distinct from the database of interest and to which access is unavailable with the management application {See TSUCHIYA, col.5, lines 44-55, wherein this reads over "the table managing section 22 refers to the management table 20 to determine the type classified by the classification data for the management object identified based on the management object identifier taken out by the command analyzing section"}, wherein the agent is distinct from the restricted intermediate database and the database of interest;

said management application creating a second object {See TSUCHIYA, Fig. 5, Element 20a; and col. 4, lines 29-44, wherein this reads over "the field of the SNMP command is constituted so as to include a command type, a request index, and a management object identifier"; "command type specifies one of commands such as GET . . . SET"; and "management object identifier specifies a device address and a management object referred to by the command"} for indicating a data type for said data item {See

Art Unit: 2161

TSUCHIYA, Fig. 5, Element 20d}, said creating said second object including use of a second SET command {See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "[t]he set command is used to change the value of a managed object or to create a new row in a table"};

said agent {See TSUCHIYA, col. 1, lines 13-18, wherein this reads over "a management object process unit incorporated in the managed device as an agent"} storing said data type in said restricted intermediate database {See TSUCHIYA, col. 2, lines 13-14, wherein this reads over "a memory section for storing the management object collected from the managed device"};

said management application creating a third object {See TSUCHIYA, Fig. 5, Element 20a; and col. 4, lines 29-44, wherein this reads over "the field of the SNMP command is constituted so as to include a command type, a request index, and a management object identifier"; "command type specifies one of commands such as GET . . . SET"; and "management object identifier specifies a device address and a management object referred to by the command"} for indicating an action to be performed on said data item with respect to the database of interest {See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "[t]he s tells snmpset that we want to set the value of sysLocation to a string"}, said creating said third object including use of a third SET command {See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "[t]he set command is used to change the value of a managed object or to create a new row in a table"};

said agent issuing an action command to perform said action {See TSUCHIYA, col. 1, lines 26-29, wherein this reads over "[t]he agent . . . transmits a response for the request in the form of the SNMP command to the manager"}, wherein said agent uses said stored unique identifier, said stored data type, and said action {See TSUCHIYA, Fig. 5, Element 20a; and col. 4, lines 29-44, wherein this reads over "the field of the SNMP command is constituted so as to include a command type, a request index, and a management object identifier"};

said agent receiving a response to said action command from the database of interest {See MAURO, Section 1.4, wherein this reads over "RDBMS MIB"; and Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "run a final smpget, which tells us that the set actually took effect"} and sending said response to said management application {See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, Figure 2-8, wherein this reads over "the agent performs the set and returns a noError response to the NMS"}.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that a managed device or object, as disclosed by both TSUCHIYA and MAURO, would include a database. That is, while TSUCHIYA and MARUO may not expressly disclose that the managed device or object is a database, it would have been obvious and widely-known to those of ordinary skill in the art that a database would qualify as a managed device or object.

Additionally, While TSUCHIYA teaches the use of an agent and certain SNMP commands directed toward a management object, MAURO teaches the specific use of "set" and "get" Operations. Therefore, since the prior art MAURO further discloses the details of issuing an SNMP command and the MIB structure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above invention suggested by TSUCHIYA by combining it with the invention disclosed

Art Unit: 2161

by MAURO. The results of this combination would lead to the method for a management application (i.e. Network Management Software) accessing a database by means of an agent which stores a unique identifier and data type in a restricted intermediate database.

Additionally, with respect to the amendment which recites a limitation that has the agent "receiving said unique identifier (also said data type and said action) from said management application" wherein the received data is stored in a restricted intermediate database "distinct from the database of interest," TSUCHIYA discloses a network management system wherein "the MIB processing section collects a management object . . . from the managed device 13 and processes the management object into an MIB format" (See TSUCHIYA, C4:L45-57). Furthermore, "[t]he object managing section 19 manages the management object collected" and stores the received data in a management table which is "composed of the management object identifier, the management object collection time, the collected management object, and classification data" (See TSUCHIYA, C5:L3-5 and 61-65). Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would correlate the aforementioned prior art with the present invention such that the data would be stored in a restricted intermediate database (i.e. the management table) distinct from the database of interest (i.e. the managed database device).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification so that SNMP may be used in accessing restricted management objects.

10. **As per dependent claims 2 and 12**, TSUCHIYA, in combination with MAURO and Official Notice, discloses:

The method recited in claim 1, wherein said response indicating success is said data item {See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "the snmpset command succeeds and reports the new value of sysLocation"}.

11. **As per dependent claims 3 and 13**, TSUCHIYA, in combination with MAURO, discloses:

The method recited in claim 1, wherein said response indicating failure is an error message {See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "[the agent] performs other checks and, if any of them fail, returns a get-response with the appropriate error code"}.

12. **As per dependent claims 4, 8, and 16,** TSUCHIYA, in combination with MAURO and Official Notice, discloses:

Art Unit: 2161

The method recited in claim 1, wherein said action is a returning to said management application of said data item from the database of interest, and said action command is a GET command {See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "run a final snmpget, which tells us that the set actually took effect"}.

13. As per dependent claims 5, 9, and 17, TSUCHIYA, in combination with MAURO and

Official Notice, discloses:

The method recited in claim 1, wherein said action is a storing of said data item in the database of interest {See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "[t]he set command is used to change the value of a managed object or to create a new row in a table"};

said action command is a fourth SET command {See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "[t]he set command is used to change the value of a managed object or to create a new row in a table"}; and

further comprising: said management application creating a fourth object for indicating an actual value of said data item to be stored in the database of interest {See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "s tells snmpset that we want to set the value of sysLocation to a string; and 'Atlanta, GA' is the new value itself"}.

14. As per dependent claims 6 and 14, TSUCHIYA, in combination with MAURO and

Official Notice, discloses:

The method recited in claim 1, wherein the database of interest is a restricted database (See MAURO, Section 1.4, wherein this reads on "a proprietary MIB"; and Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "[o]bjects that are defined in the MIB as read-write or write-only can be altered or created using this command").

15. **As per independent claim 7**, TSUCHIYA, in combination with MAURO and Official Notice,

discloses:

An apparatus for accessing a database of interest, the apparatus comprising:

- a first network device providing a management application {See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "NMS"};
- a second network device {See MAURO, Section 1.4, wherein this reads over "RDBMS MIB"} operatively coupled to said first network device; and
- an agent configured {See TSUCHIYA, col. 1, lines 13-18, wherein this reads over "a management object process unit incorporated in the managed device as an agent"} to monitor said second network device {See MAURO, Section 1.4, wherein this reads over "RDBMS MIB"};

wherein said management application of said first network device is configured to:

create a first object for indicating a unique identifier for a data item {See TSUCHIYA, Fig. 5, Element 20a; and col. 4, lines 29-44, wherein this reads over

Art Unit: 2161

"the field of the SNMP command is constituted so as to include a command type, a request index, and a management object identifier"; "command type specifies one of commands such as GET . . . SET"; and "management object identifier specifies a device address and a management object referred to by the command"} using a first SET command {See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "[t]he set command is used to change the value of a managed object or to create a new row in a table"},

create a second object {See TSUCHIYA, Fig. 5, Element 20a; and col. 4, lines 29-44, wherein this reads over "the field of the SNMP command is constituted so as to include a command type, a request index, and a management object identifier"; "command type specifies one of commands such as GET . . . SET"; and "management object identifier specifies a device address and a management object referred to by the command"} for indicating a data type for said data item {See TSUCHIYA, Fig. 5, Element 20d} using a second SET command {See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "[t]he set command is used to change the value of a managed object or to create a new row in a table"},

create a third object{See TSUCHIYA, Fig. 5, Element 20a; and col. 4, lines 29-44, wherein this reads over "the field of the SNMP command is constituted so as to include a command type, a request index, and a management object identifier"; "command type specifies one of commands such as GET...SET"; and "management object identifier specifies a device address and a management object referred to by the command"}, using a third SET command {See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "[t]he set command is used to change the value of a managed object or to create a new row in a table"}, for indicating an action to be performed on said data item with respect to the database of interest{See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "[t]he s tells snmpset that we want to set the value of sysLocation to a string"}, and

receive a response to an action command to perform said action {See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "[the agent] performs other checks and, if any of them fail, returns a get-response with the appropriate error code"}; and

wherein said agent is further configured to:

receive said unique identifier from said first network device and store said unique identifier in a restricted intermediate database {See TSUCHIYA, col. 2, lines 13-14, wherein this reads over "a memory section for storing the management object collected from the managed device"} which is distinct from the database of interest and to which access is unavailable with the management object {See TSUCHIYA, col.5, lines 44-55, wherein this reads over "the table managing section 22 refers to the management table 20 to determine the type classified by the classification data for the management object identified based on the management object identifier taken out by the command analyzing section"},

receive said data type from said first network device and store said data type in said restricted intermediate database {See TSUCHIYA, col. 2, lines 13-14, wherein this reads over "a memory section for storing the management object collected from the managed device"},

receive said action from said first network device and issue said action {See TSUCHIYA, col. 1, lines 26-29, wherein this reads over "[t]he agent . . . transmits a response for the request in the form of the SNMP command to the manager"} command using said stored unique identifier, said stored data type, and

Art Unit: 2161

said action {See TSUCHIYA, Fig. 5, Element 20a; and col. 4, lines 29-44, wherein this reads over "the field of the SNMP command is constituted so as to include a command type, a request index, and a management object identifier"},

receive said response from the database of interest {See MAURO, Section 1.4, wherein this reads over "RDBMS MIB"; and Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "run a final smpget, which tells us that the set actually took effect"}, and

send said response to said first network device (See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, Figure 2-8, wherein this reads over "the agent performs the set and returns a noError response to the NMS").

wherein said agent is distinct from the restricted intermediate database and the database of interest.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that a managed device or object, as disclosed by both TSUCHIYA and MAURO, would include a database. That is, while TSUCHIYA and MARUO may not expressly disclose that the managed device or object is a database, it would have been obvious and widely-known to those of ordinary skill in the art that a database would qualify as a managed device or object.

While TSUCHIYA teaches the use of an agent and certain SNMP commands directed toward a management object, MAURO teaches the specific use of "set" and "get" Operations. Therefore, since the prior art MAURO further discloses the details of issuing an SNMP command and the MIB structure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above invention suggested by TSUCHIYA by combining it with the invention disclosed by MAURO. The results of this combination would lead to the method for a management application (i.e. Network Management Software) accessing a database by means of an agent which stores a unique identifier and data type in a restricted intermediate database.

Additionally, with respect to the amendment which recites a limitation that has the agent "receiving said unique identifier (also said data type and said action) from said management application" wherein the received data is stored in a restricted intermediate database "distinct from the database of interest," TSUCHIYA discloses a network management system wherein "the MIB processing section collects a management object . . . from the managed device 13 and processes the management object

Art Unit: 2161

into an MIB format" {See TSUCHIYA, C4:L45-57}. Furthermore, "[t]he object managing section 19 manages the management object collected" and stores the received data in a management table which is "composed of the management object identifier, the management object collection time, the collected management object, and classification data" {See TSUCHIYA, C5:L3-5 and 61-65}. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would correlate the aforementioned prior art with the present invention such that the data would be stored in a restricted intermediate database (i.e. the management table) distinct from the database of interest (i.e. the managed device).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification so that SNMP may be used in accessing restricted management objects.

16. **As per dependent claim 10**, TSUCHIYA, in combination with MAURO and Official Notice, discloses:

The apparatus recited in claim 7, wherein said first network device is a network management station {See MAURO, Section 2.6.4, wherein this reads over "NMS"}.

17. **As per dependent claim 11**, TSUCHIYA, in combination with MAURO and Official Notice, discloses:

The apparatus recited in claim 7, wherein said second network device is a monitored device (See MAURO, Section 1.4, wherein this reads over "RDBMS MIB").

Response to Arguments

- 18. Applicant's arguments filed 15 August 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - a. Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103

Applicant asserts the argument that the Tsuchiya and Mauro references fail to disclose a method wherein "the agent is distinct from the restricted intermediate database and the database of interest." See Amendment, page 9. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As objected to above, the amendment as recited introduces new matter into the disclosure of the

Art Unit: 2161

invention (i.e. an agent which is distinct from the restricted intermediate database and the database of interest). Applicant's Specification discloses "an agent software program 36" that communicates with "a database of interest 48" and "a restricted intermediate database 50." See Specification, Para. [0015] and Figure 3. However, Applicant's disclosed invention fails to particularly assert the claimed feature of an agent that is distinct and separate from the restricted intermediate database and the database of interest. That is, the agent software program, database of interest, and the restricted intermediate database may all reside on the second network device such that the three aforementioned entities are not distinct. Wherein the Specification fails to disclose that the agent, database of interest and the restricted intermediate database are distinct and reside on separate devices, it is noted that the agent, database of interest, and the restricted intermediate database may all reside on one network device (i.e. the management table 20 and table managing section 22 of the Tsuchiya reference may be a part of the SNMP agent).

Accordingly, the claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103 are sustained.

Conclusion

19. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,804 Page 11

Art Unit: 2161

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2737. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on (571) 272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul Kim Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161 TECH Center 2100

APU MOFIZEXAMINER